

1 **Appendix Three: Discussions of Jury Instructions and Decisionmaking**
2
3
4

5 The following materials discuss various aspects of jury instructions and decisionmaking.
6

7 Walter F. Abbott et al., *Jury Research: A Review and Bibliography* (1993).
8

9 American Bar Association Principles for Juries & Jury Trials, SL044 ALI-ABA 653 (2005).
10

11 Martin J. Bourgeois, et al., *Nominal and Interactive Groups: Effects of Preinstruction and*
12 *Deliberations on Decisions and Evidence Recall in Complex Trials*, 80 *Journal of*
13 *Applied Psychology* 58 (1995).

14
15 David C. Brody & John Neiswender, *Judicial Attitudes Towards Jury Reform*, 83 *Judicature* 298
16 (2000).

17
18 A. Barry Cappello & G. James Strenio, *Juror Questioning: The Verdict Is In*, 36 *JUN Trial* 44
19 (2000).

20
21 Joe S. Cecil et al., *Citizen Comprehension of Difficult Issues: Lessons from Civil Jury Trials*, 40
22 *Am. U. L. Rev.* 727 (1991).

23
24 Robert P. Charrow & Veda R. Charrow, *Making Legal Language Understandable: A*
25 *Psycholinguistic Study of Jury Instructions*, 79 *Colum. L. Rev.* 1306 (1979).

26
27 Charting a Future for the Civil Jury System: Report from an American Bar Association /
28 Brookings Symposium (1992).

29
30 The Civil Juror: A Research Project Sponsored by the Roscoe Pound Foundation (1988), in John
31 Guinther, *The Jury in America* (1988).

32
33 Neil P. Cohen & Daniel R. Cohen, *Jury Reform in Tennessee*, 34 *U. Mem. L. Rev.* 1 (2003).

34
35 Neil P. Cohen, *The Timing of Jury Instructions*, 67 *Tenn. L. Rev.* 681 (2000)

36
37 Committee on Federal Courts of the New York State Bar Association, *Improving Jury*
38 *Comprehension in Complex Civil Litigation*, 62 *St. John's L. Rev.* 549 (1988).

39
40 Donna Cruse & Beverly A. Browne, *Reasoning in a Jury Trial: The Influence of Instructions*,
41 114 *J. Gen. Psychol.* 129 (1987).

42
43 B. Michael Dann & George Logan III, *Jury Reform: The Arizona Experience*, 79 *Judicature* 280
44 (1996).

- 1 B. Michael Dann., *"Learning Lessons" and "Speaking Rights": Creating Educated and*
2 *Democratic Juries*, 68 Ind. L.J. 1229 (1993).
- 3
- 4 Shari Seidman Diamond et al., *Juror Discussions During Civil Trials: Studying an Arizona*
5 *Innovation*, 45 Ariz. L. Rev. 1 (2003).
- 6
- 7 Shari Seidman Diamond & Neil Vidmar, *Jury Room Ruminations on Forbidden Topics*, 87 Va.
8 L. Rev. 1857 (2001).
- 9
- 10 Bethany K. Dumas, *Jury Trials: Lay Jurors, Pattern Jury Instructions, and Comprehension*
11 *Issues*, 67 Tenn. L. Rev. 701 (2000).
- 12
- 13 Amiram Elwork et al., *Juridic Decisions: In Ignorance of the Law or in Light of It?*, 1 Law &
14 Hum. Behav. 163 (1977).
- 15
- 16 Amiram Elwork et al., Making Jury Instructions Understandable (1982).
- 17
- 18 Victor E. Flango, *Would Jurors Do a Better Job if They Could Take Notes?*, 63 Judicature 436
19 (1980).
- 20
- 21 Lynne ForsterLee & Irwin A. Horowitz, *Enhancing Juror Competence in a Complex Trial*, 11
22 Applied Cognitive Psychology 305 (1997).
- 23
- 24 Lynne ForsterLee et al., *Effects of Notetaking on Verdicts and Evidence Processing in a Civil*
25 *Trial*, 18 Law & Hum. Behav. 567 (1994).
- 26
- 27 Lynne ForsterLee et al., *Juror Competence in Civil Trials: Effects of Preinstruction and*
28 *Evidence Technicality*, 78 J. Applied Psychol. 14 (1993).
- 29
- 30 Paula L. Hannaford et al., *The Timing of Opinion Formation by Jurors in Civil Cases: An*
31 *Empirical Examination*, 67 Tenn. L. Rev. 627, 650 (2000).
- 32
- 33 Paula L. Hannaford et al., *Permitting Jury Discussions During Trial: Impact of the Arizona*
34 *Reform*, 24 Law & Hum. Behav. 359 (2000).
- 35
- 36 Valerie P. Hans, *Inside the Black Box: Comment on Diamond and Vidmar*, 87 Va. L. Rev. 1917
37 (2001).
- 38
- 39 Valerie P. Hans et al., *The Arizona Jury Reform Permitting Civil Jury Trial Discussions: The*
40 *Views of Trial Participants, Judges, and Jurors*, 32 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 349 (1999).
- 41
- 42 Larry Heuer & Steven Penrod, *Trial Complexity: A Field Investigation of Its Meaning and Its*
43 *Effects*, 18 Law & Hum. Behav. 29 (1994).
- 44
- 45 Larry Heuer & Steven Penrod, *Juror Notetaking and Question Asking During Trials: A National*

- 1 *Field Experiment*, 18 Law & Hum. Behav. 121 (1994).
- 2
- 3 Larry Heuer & Steven D. Penrod, *Instructing Jurors: A Field Experiment with Written and*
4 *Preliminary Instructions*, 13 Law & Hum. Behav. 409 (1989).
- 5
- 6 Larry Heuer & Steven Penrod, *Increasing Jurors' Participation in Trials: A Field Experiment*
7 *with Jury Notetaking and Question Asking*, 12 Law & Hum. Behav. 231 (1988).
- 8
- 9 Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff & Matthew T. Bodie, *The Effects of Jury Ignorance about Damage*
10 *Caps: The Case of the 1991 Civil Rights Act*, 90 Iowa L. Rev. 1361 (2005).
- 11
- 12 Saul M. Kassin & Lawrence S. Wrightsman, *On the Requirements of Proof: The Timing of*
13 *Judicial Instruction and Mock Juror Verdicts*, 37 J. Personality & Social Psychology
14 1877 (1979).
- 15
- 16 J. Clark Kelso, *Final Report of the Blue Ribbon Commission on Jury System Improvement*, 47
17 Hastings L.J. 1433 (1996).
- 18
- 19 Geoffrey P. Kramer & Dorean M. Koenig, *Do Jurors Understand Criminal Jury Instructions?*
20 *Analyzing the Results of the Michigan Juror Comprehension Project*, 23 Univ. Mich. J.
21 L. Reform 401 (1990).
- 22
- 23 Alayna Jehle & Monica K. Miller, *Controversy in the Courtroom: Implications of Allowing*
24 *Jurors to Question Witnesses*, 32 Wm. Mitchell L. Rev. 27 (2005).
- 25
- 26 Richard Lempert, Civil Juries and Complex Cases: Taking Stock after Twelve Years, in *Verdict: Assessing the Civil Jury System* (Robert E. Litan ed. 1993).
- 27
- 28
- 29 Joel D. Lieberman & Bruce D. Sales, *What Social Science Teaches Us About the Jury Instruction Process*, 3 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 589 (1997).
- 30
- 31
- 32 Nicole L. Mott, *The Current Debate on Juror Questions: "To Ask or Not to Ask, That Is the Question,"* 78 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 1099 (2003).
- 33
- 34
- 35 Steven D. Penrod & Larry Heuer, *Tweaking Commonsense: Assessing Aids to Jury Decision Making*, 3 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 259 (1997).
- 36
- 37
- 38 Alan Reifman et al., *Real Jurors' Understanding of the Law in Real Cases*, 16 Law & Hum. Behav. 539 (1992).
- 39
- 40
- 41 David L. Rosenhan et al., *Notetaking Can Aid Juror Recall*, 18 Law & Hum. Behav. 53 (1994).
- 42
- 43 Leonard B. Sand & Steven Alan Reiss, *A Report on Seven Experiments Conducted by District Court Judges in the Second Circuit*, 60 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 423 (1985).
- 44
- 45

- 1 William W. Schwarzer, *Communication with Juries: Problems and Remedies*, 69 Cal L. Rev.
2 731 (1981).
- 3
- 4 William W. Schwarzer, *Reforming Jury Trials*, 1990 U. Chi. Legal F. 119.
- 5
- 6 Vicki L. Smith, *Prototypes in the Courtroom: Lay Representations of Legal Concepts*, 61
7 Journal of Personality & Social Psychology 857 (1991).
- 8
- 9 Vicki L. Smith, *Impact of Pretrial Instruction on Jurors' Information Processing and Decision*
10 *Making*, 76 J. Applied Psychol. 220 (1991).
- 11
- 12 Vicki L. Smith, *The Feasibility and Utility of Pretrial Instruction in the Substantive Law: A*
13 *Survey of Judges*, 14 Law & Hum. Behav. 235 (1990).
- 14
- 15 Peter Meijes Tiersma, *Reforming the Language of Jury Instructions*, 22 Hofstra L. Rev. 37
16 (1993).